What theories, frameworks, models and topics researchers consider when analysing air pollution control policy, aka clean air policy effectiveness?

Researchers analyze clean air policy effectiveness using various approaches including quantitative models, accountability frameworks, administrative theories, and multi-dimensional evaluation topics covering emissions, economics, health, and regulatory aspects.

Abstract

Studies examining air pollution control policy employ a range of theories, frameworks, models, and topics to assess policy effectiveness. Some studies use quantitative models—integrated assessment modeling, cost-benefit analysis, and extended input–output approaches (as seen in Åström, Zecchi et al., and Brink and Idenburg)—to evaluate trade–offs and performance indicators. Accountability frameworks appear in Henneman et al. (2017), who distinguish between classical accountability chains and direct approaches. In Germany, Schmieder et al. apply a policy package approach and multiple streams framework to trace policy development, while Potoski and Woods rely on administrative procedures theory to explain bureaucratic autonomy at the state level. Other studies (Feng et al., Jin et al., and Lidskog and Sundqvist) emphasize institutional, governance, or conceptual perspectives without naming a specific framework, and Pargal and Heil address regulatory instruments and policy levers. Researchers also consider multi–dimensional evaluation topics—including emission reduction, economic and health impacts, and regulatory and behavioral aspects—to capture the complex nature of clean air policy effectiveness.

Paper search

Using your research question "What theories, frameworks, models and topics researchers consider when analysing air pollution control policy, aka clean air policy effectiveness?", we searched across over 126 million academic papers from the Semantic Scholar corpus. We retrieved the 50 papers most relevant to the query.

Screening

We screened in papers that met these criteria:

- **Policy Analysis Focus**: Does the study analyze air pollution control policies or clean air policies at any governmental level (local, regional, national, or international)?
- **Policy Evaluation**: Does the study evaluate policy outcomes, implementation processes, or effectiveness measures?
- **Study Type**: Is the study an empirical study, systematic review, meta-analysis, or theoretical paper that includes substantial analytical content?
- **Analytical Content**: Does the study go beyond merely describing policy content to include analysis of effectiveness or implementation?
- **Theoretical Framework**: Does the study examine theoretical frameworks, conceptual models, or analytical approaches in evaluating policy effectiveness?
- **Publication Type**: Is the publication a research article with systematic analysis (not an opinion piece, editorial, commentary, case report, or news article)?

- **Technical Focus**: Does the study include policy analysis beyond purely technical aspects of air pollution measurement?

We considered all screening questions together and made a holistic judgement about whether to screen in each paper.

Data extraction

We asked a large language model to extract each data column below from each paper. We gave the model the extraction instructions shown below for each column.

- **Theoretical Framework or Model**:

  Identify and extract the primary theoretical framework, model, or conceptual approach used in the study to analyze air pollution control policy. Look in the introduction, literature review, or theoretical background sections. If multiple frameworks are used, list them in order of prominence. If no explicit framework is mentioned, write "No specific theoretical framework identified".

Examples of potential frameworks might include:

- Administrative procedures theory
- Policy design theory
- Institutional analysis frameworks
- Governance models
- Decision-making support models

Be precise in describing the specific theoretical approach and its key components.

- **Policy Analysis Approach**:

  Describe the specific approach used to analyze air pollution control policy in the study. Look in the methodology section for details about:

- Type of policy analysis (e.g., retrospective, prospective, comparative)
- Key analytical methods used (e.g., integrated assessment models, cost-benefit analysis)
- Data sources used for policy analysis

If multiple approaches are used, list them in order of significance. If the approach is not clearly stated, write "Approach not explicitly defined".

Provide a concise but comprehensive description of the analytical approach.

- **Key Policy Topics or Dimensions Examined**:

  Extract the primary policy topics, dimensions, or aspects of air pollution control that the study focuses on. Look in the introduction, research objectives, and results sections.

Potential dimensions might include:

- Emission reduction strategies
- Institutional governance
- Administrative procedures
- Economic impacts
- Health considerations

- Regulatory mechanisms

List the key topics in order of their prominence in the study. If no specific topics are identifiable, write "No specific policy topics clearly defined".

Aim to capture the core policy focus of the research in a clear, concise manner.

- **Geographical and Temporal Context**:

  Identify the specific geographical context (country, region, level of government) and the time period covered by the policy analysis.

For geographical context:

- Specify the exact geographical area
- Note the level of governance (national, state, local)

For temporal context:

- Extract the specific years or time period studied
- Note if the analysis is historical, current, or forward-looking

If multiple contexts are examined, list them. If context is not clearly defined, write "Context not specified".

- **Policy Effectiveness Indicators**:

  Extract the specific indicators or metrics used to assess the effectiveness of air pollution control policies. Look in the methodology, results, and discussion sections.

Potential indicators might include:

- Emission reduction percentages
- Health impact measurements
- Economic cost-effectiveness
- Regulatory compliance rates

List the indicators in order of their significance to the study's analysis. If no clear effectiveness indicators are identified, write "No specific effectiveness indicators defined".

Provide precise measurements or descriptions where possible.

Results

Characteristics of Included Studies

Study	Study Focus	Methodology	Geographical Scope	Policy Analysis Framework	Full text retrieved
Feng et al., 2024	Trends and gaps in air pollution policy research	Systematic review, bibliometric and keyword co-occurrence analysis	China (national), global research trends	We didn't find mention of a specific theoretical framework in the abstract	No
Henneman et al., 2017	Evaluation of air quality regulation effectiveness	Review of accountability studies and frameworks	Not specified (various scales, local to broad)	Classical accountability chain; direct accountability framework	No
Pargal and Heil, "Reducing Air Pollution"	Urban transport air pollution policy analysis	Conceptual framework, policy lever analysis	Not specified (urban, global)	We didn't find mention of a specific theoretical framework in the abstract	No
Åström, 2019	Robustness of European air pollution policy support models	Integrated assessment models, cost-benefit and cost-effectiveness analysis	European Union (supranational)	Integrated assessment models, cost-benefit analysis	No
Jin et al., "Air Pollution Control Policies in China"	Evolution and effectiveness of China's air pollution policies	Retrospective policy analysis	China (national)	We didn't find mention of a specific theoretical framework in the abstract	No
Schmieder et al., 2021	Policy development in German Lead City Program	Comparative, ex-post analysis, interviews, document review	Germany (local: Essen, Herrenberg, Reutlingen)	Policy package approach, multiple streams framework	No
Brink and Idenburg, 2007	Cost-effective pollution abatement modeling	Extended input-output model, optimization	Netherlands (national)	Input-output model (extended environmental input-output)	No

Study	Study Focus	Methodology	Geographical Scope	Policy Analysis Framework	Full text retrieved
Lidskog and Sundqvist, 2011	Dynamics of science, policy, and citizen interaction in air governance	Theoretical, institutional and governance analysis	Europe (regional/international)	We didn't find mention of a specific theoretical framework in the abstract	No
Zecchi et al., 2024	Integrated assessment for air pollution control in Po Valley	Integrated assessment modeling, multi-objective optimization	Italy (Po Valley, regional)	Integrated assessment modeling, decision-making support models	No
Potoski and Woods, 2001	Administrative procedures and bureaucratic autonomy in state clean air agencies	Empirical survey, administrative theory analysis	United States (state level)	Administrative procedures theory, venues of influence	No

Methodology:

- Integrated assessment modeling:2 studies (including multi-objective optimization)
- Systematic review with bibliometric and keyword analysis:1 study
- Review of accountability studies and frameworks:1 study
- Conceptual framework and policy lever analysis:1 study
- Retrospective policy analysis:1 study
- Comparative, ex-post analysis with interviews and document review:1 study
- Extended input-output model with optimization:1 study
- Theoretical, institutional, and governance analysis:1 study
- Empirical survey and administrative theory analysis:1 study

Geographical Scope:

- China (national level):2 studies
- European Union or Europe (regional/supranational):2 studies
- Germany (local level):1 study
- Netherlands (national level):1 study
- Italy (regional: Po Valley):1 study
- United States (state level):1 study
- Urban or global contexts (no specific location mentioned in abstract):2 studies
- Global research trends or urban/global focus (explicit):2 studies

Policy Analysis Framework:

- No specific theoretical framework mentioned in abstract:4 studies

- Integrated assessment models and/or cost-benefit analysis:2 studies
- Accountability frameworks (classical accountability chain, direct accountability):1 study
- Policy package approach and multiple streams framework:1 study
- Input-output model (extended environmental input-output):1 study
- Decision-making support models:1 study
- Administrative procedures theory and venues of influence:1 study

Some studies used more than one framework or model in their analysis.

Thematic Analysis

Theoretical Frameworks and Models

- Administrative procedures theory:Central in Potoski and Woods (2001), focusing on how administrative structures shape policy influence and bureaucratic autonomy.
- Integrated assessment modeling and cost-benefit analysis:Prominent in Åström (2019), Zecchi et al. (2024), and Brink and Idenburg (2007), reflecting a tradition of quantitative, systems-based policy evaluation.
- Accountability frameworks:Henneman et al. (2017) focuses on accountability frameworks, distinguishing between classical chain and direct statistical approaches.
- Policy package approach and multiple streams framework:Applied in Schmieder et al. (2021) to analyze policy development processes.
- Institutional, governance, or conceptual models:We didn't find mention of a named framework in the abstracts of Feng et al., Jin et al., and Lidskog and Sundqvist, but these studies suggest institutional, governance, or conceptual models.

Policy Implementation Mechanisms

- Bureaucratic autonomy and administrative procedures:Discussed in Potoski and Woods (2001).
- Political and institutional factors:Highlighted in Jin et al., Schmieder et al., and Lidskog and Sundqvist.
- Regulatory instruments and policy levers:Addressed in Pargal and Heil, and Henneman et al.
- Multi-level governance and stakeholder engagement:Several studies emphasize the interaction between science, policy, and citizens in shaping policy outcomes, particularly in Lidskog and Sundqvist.

Effectiveness Evaluation Approaches

- Ex-post evaluation methods:Used in Feng et al. and Schmieder et al.
- Performance indicators:Discussed in Jin et al., Brink and Idenburg, and Zecchi et al.
- Implementation challenges:Identified in Åström and Lidskog and Sundqvist.
- Quantitative effectiveness indicators:Only a minority of studies provide explicit, quantitative effectiveness indicators, such as emission reduction percentages or cost-effectiveness metrics. Most studies emphasize the complexity of evaluating policy effectiveness due to confounding factors, uncertainties, and the need for robust baseline and counterfactual scenarios.

Cross-cutting Findings

Theme	Key Findings	Supporting Evidence	Policy Implications
Diversity of frameworks	Among the included studies, we didn't find a single dominant framework; use of integrated assessment models, cost-benefit analysis, policy package approach, multiple streams framework, administrative theory, and conceptual models	Åström, Zecchi, Brink and Idenburg, Schmieder et al., Potoski and Woods, Henneman et al.	Policy analysis should be context-specific and may benefit from integrating multiple frameworks
Emphasis on modeling and quantitative analysis	Widespread use of integrated assessment, cost-benefit, and input-output models	Åström, Zecchi, Brink and Idenburg	Quantitative modeling is essential for evaluating trade-offs and optimizing policy choices
Importance of governance and administrative factors	Institutional, bureaucratic, and stakeholder dynamics are central to policy effectiveness	Jin et al., Schmieder et al., Lidskog and Sundqvist, Potoski and Woods	Effective policy requires attention to governance structures and administrative procedures
Limited reporting of effectiveness indicators	Only a few studies provide clear, standardized metrics for policy effectiveness	Jin et al., Brink and Idenburg, Zecchi et al.	There is a need for more empirical studies with robust, comparable indicators
Multi-dimensional evaluation	Studies consider emission reduction, economic, health, regulatory, and behavioral dimensions	Multiple studies	Comprehensive policy evaluation should integrate multiple dimensions

- Diversity of frameworks:6 studies addressed the diversity of frameworks, with no single dominant approach; frameworks included integrated assessment models, cost-benefit analysis, policy package approach, multiple streams framework, administrative theory, and conceptual models.
- Modeling and quantitative analysis:3 studies emphasized modeling and quantitative analysis, specifically using integrated assessment, cost-benefit, and input-output models.
- Governance and administrative factors:4 studies focused on governance and administrative factors, highlighting the importance of institutional, bureaucratic, and stakeholder dynamics for policy effectiveness.
- Effectiveness indicators:Only 3 studies provided clear, standardized indicators for policy effectiveness; we didn't find standardized effectiveness metrics in the other studies.
- Multi-dimensional evaluation:All 10 studies considered multiple evaluation dimensions, including emission reduction, economic, health, regulatory, and behavioral aspects.

References

C. Brink, and A. Idenburg. "Cost-Effective Pollution-Abatement in an Input-Output Model," 2007.

Laura Zecchi, M. Arrighini, Giorgio Guariso, and Marialuisa Volta. "The Role of Energy Policies for Air Pollution Control in the Po Valley." *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 2024.

Lisa Schmieder, D. Scheer, and C. Iurato. "Streams Analysis for Better Air Quality: The German Lead City Program Assessed by the Policy Package Approach and the Multiple Streams Framework." *Energies*, 2021.

Lucas R. F. Henneman, Cong Liu, J. Mulholland, and A. Russell. "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Air Quality Regulations: A Review of Accountability Studies and Frameworks." *Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association*, 2017.

Matthew Potoski, and Neal D. Woods. "Designing State Clean Air Agencies: Administrative Procedures and Bureaucratic Autonomy," 2001.

R. Lidskog, and G. Sundqvist. "Governing the Air: The Dynamics of Science, Policy, and Citizen Interaction," 2011.

S. Pargal, and M. Heil. "Reducing Air Pollution from Urban Passenger Transport: A Framework for Policy Analysis," 1998.

Stefan Åström. "Research and Reflections on European Air Pollution Policy Support Models," 2019.

Tong Feng, Yuechi Sun, Yating Shi, Jie Ma, Chunmei Feng, and Zhenni Chen. "Air Pollution Control Policies and Impacts: A Review." *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2024.

Yana Jin, Henrik Andersson, and Shiqiu Zhang. "Environmental Research and Public Health Air Pollution Control Policies in China: A Retrospective and Prospects," n.d.